Combining ability analysis over environments in diallel crosses in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

P.R. PADHAR*, V.P. CHOVATIA, L.L. JIVANI AND K.L. DOBARIYA

Wheat Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

(Email:prpadhar@jau.in)

Abstract : Combining ability analysis was undertaken in a 12 x 12 half diallel progeny of bread wheat for grain yield and its component characters under timely (E₁) and late sown (E₂) conditions. The mean squares due to gca and sca showed highly significant differences for all the characters in both the environments, suggesting the importance of both additive and non-additive gene action. However, variances due to sca were higher in magnitude than gca for most of the traits except plant height and length of main spike in both the environments indicating the predominance of non-additive gene action. The low predictability ratios for most of the traits in both the sowing dates also confirm the results. However, the predictability ratios for plant height and length of main spike were near unity suggesting the importance of additive gene action in the inheritance of these characters. The estimates of gca effects of the parents revealed that GW 496 (in both the environments), GW 273, MACS 2496 and PBW 373 (in E₁) while J 24 and HUW 234 (in E₂) were observed to be good general combiners for grain yield and some contributing traits. The perusal of sca effects revealed that the crosses GW 496 x HD 2189, DL 788-2 x GW 173 and GW 496 x MACS 2496 were found to be good specific combiners with considerable per se performance in both the environments. The crosses GW 496 x PBW 373 in E, and GW 496 x HD 2189 in E, gave the highest sca effects as well as per se performance in respective generation. These crosses also showed desirable sca effects for the important yield contributing traits like number of tillers per plant, length of main spike, number of grains per plant, flag leaf area, biological yield per plant and harvest index. The crosses showing high sca effects for grain yield per plant involved high x high, high x low and low x low general combiners indicating the involvement of additive x additive, additive x dominance and dominance x dominance type of gene action in the inheritance of these characters. The simple pedigree selection in succeeding generations and non-conventional breeding methods like biparental mating coupled with few cycles of recurrent selection could be utilized for the exploitation of additive and non-additive gene action, respectively.

Key Words : Diallel analysis, gca, sca, Over environments, Bread wheat, Combining ability

View Point Article : Padhar, P.R., Chovatia, V.P., Jivani, L.L. and Dobariya, K.L. (2013). Combining ability analysis over environments in diallel crosses in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **9**(1): 49-53.

Article History : Received : 28.12.2011; Revised : 12.08.2012; Accepted : 10.10.12

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is usually accorded a premier place among the cereals. It is grown over a range of latitudes and is known for its remarkable adaptation. There has been a steady and highly significant increase in wheat yields, largely due to the release of new varieties and improved production technologies. The success of any plant breeding programme mostly depends on the exact knowledge of the genetic architecture of the population being handled, the basic genetic mechanisms involved in generating variability and

the selection of parents along with the information regarding nature and magnitude of gene action controlling various characters of agronomic importance. The concept of combining ability, which is a landmark in the adoption of appropriate breeding methods, is of great use for improving crop varieties. Generally, wheat is grown in the month of November but it is also grown after the harvesting of monsoon crops in the month of December. Hence, development of high yielding varieties under timely as well as late sowing are required. Therefore, the present study was carried out to estimate the combining ability of the 12 bread wheat varieties and their 66 crosses for

*Author for correspondence and Present Address : Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve diverse varieties of bread wheat namely J 24, GW 273, GW 496, GW 322, HD 2189, MACS 2496, PBW 373, UP 2425, HUW 234, DL 788-2, Lok 1 and GW 173, along with their 66 F₁'s produced by diallel mating system (without reciprocals) were grown in a randomized block design with three replications under two environments viz., timely sown (E₁) and late sown (E₂) at the Wheat Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. Each cross/ parent was accommodated in each environment in a single row plot of 2.50 m length with a distance of 22.5 cm between rows and 10.0 cm between plants within rows. All the recommended cultural practices were followed for raising a healthy crop. The five competitive plants from parental lines and F₁s in each replication were selected randomly, excluding border plants, for recording the single plant observations on 12 characters viz., days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), tillers per plant, length of main spike (cm), grains per spike, flag leaf area (cm²), 1000-grain weight (g), protein content (%), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%) and grain yield per plant (g). The flag leaf area was measured by using leaf area meter (AM-100, ADC Bio-Scientifics Ltd., England). The mean values of the data recorded were subjected to the combining ability analysis following method 2 model I proposed by Griffing (1956). The predictability ratio $(2\sigma^2 gca / 2\sigma^2 gca +$ σ^2 sca) was computed by following Baker (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean squares due to gca and sca were significant (Table 1) for all the characters in both the environments. This signified that the variances due to gca and sca had played an important role in the inheritance of different characters. Similar findings were reported by different workers (Patil *et al.*, 1995; Sharma *et al.*, 2003; Joshi, *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2008). The magnitudes of sca variances were higher than gca variances indicating the predominance of non-additive gene action for different traits except plant height and length of main spike in both the environments. Further, the low predictability ratios for such traits in both the environments also confirmed non-

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Source	d.f.	Mean square	SS SS										
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Days to flow	vering	Days to ma	turity	Plant height		Tillers per	plant	Length of 1	main spike	Grains per sp	ike
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			F.1	F_{2}	F ₁	F ₂	Ę.	ц,	F.	ц с	E ₁	F_2	F.	F.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Gca	11	227.86**	115.21**	33.18**	38.06**	162.07**	167.63**	5.19**	2.13**	6.79**	5.60**	194.94**	177.28**
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sca	99	16.87**	8.86**	11.04**	5.95**	7.38**	7.26**	3.47**	0.74**	0.22**	0.20**	15.40**	14.18**
	Епог	154	0.94	0.20	1.01	0.04	1.65	2.58	1.07	0.35	0.04	0.04	1.93	0.10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	o²gca		15.07	7.60	86.1	2.29	\$0.11	11.46	0.12	0.10	0.47	0.39	12.82	11.65
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	o ² sca		15.91	8.66	10.03	5.91	5.73	4.68	2.40	0.39	0.18	0.16	13.47	14.08
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$2\sigma^2$ gca			100	100		000	10 0	010		100	000		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(2\sigma^2 gca + \sigma^2 sca)$		0.0	1.0.0	16.0	1.1.0	0.50	68.0	61.0	75.0	0.81	0.83	/0.0	79.0
Flag leaf area 1000-grain weight Protein content Biological yield per plant Harvest index E ₁ E ₂ E ₁ E ₂ E ₁ E ₂ E ₁ E E<	Source	d. ² .						Mean 5	squares					
E ₁ E ₂ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Flag 1</td><td>leaf area</td><td>1000-gra</td><td>vin weight</td><td>Protein (</td><td>content</td><td>Biological yi</td><td>eld per plant</td><td>Harve</td><td>est index</td><td>Grain yie</td><td>ild per plant</td></t<>			Flag 1	leaf area	1000-gra	vin weight	Protein (content	Biological yi	eld per plant	Harve	est index	Grain yie	ild per plant
Gca 11 56.70^{**} 35.49^{**} 99.80^{**} 70.17^{**} 2.15^{**} 2.16^{**} 265.86^{**} 68.91^{**} 32.29^{**} 52.4 Sca 66 22.76^{**} 14.29^{**} 8.11^{**} 5.53^{**} 0.31^{**} 0.31^{**} 81.63^{**} 14.10^{**} 9.15^{**} 6.5 Error 154 0.23 0.36 0.09 0.21 0.02 0.03 16.77 4.73 0.48 1.1 σ^* gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3.7 σ^* gca 2.253 13.93 8.02 5.32 0.29 0.28 64.86 9.37 8.67 4.1 $2^{\circ}\sigma^{\circ}$ gca 0.76 0.18 0.64 0.63 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.46 0.38 0.14 0.38 0.14 0.38 0.14 0.38 0.14 0.18 $0.$			E	E2	Eı	E_2	E	E2	E1	E2	E,	E_2	E,	E2
Sca 66 22.76^{**} 14.29^{**} 8.11^{**} 5.33^{**} 0.31^{**} 0.31^{**} 81.03^{**} 14.10^{**} 9.13^{***} 6.51 Error 154 0.23 0.36 0.09 0.21 0.02 0.03 16.77 4.73 0.48 1.1 of gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3.5^{*} 4.73 0.48 1.1 of gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3.5^{*} of gca 2.532 0.29 0.29 0.28 64.86 9.37 8.67 4.1 $20^{5} gca$ 0.76 0.18 0.64 0.63 0.03 0.48 0.36 0.46 0.38 0.18	Gca	11	56.70**	35.49**	99.80**	70.17**	2.15**	2.16**	265.86**	68.91**	32.29**	52.48**	32.97**	4.62**
Error 154 0.23 0.36 0.09 0.21 0.02 0.03 16.77 4.73 0.48 1.3 σ^2 gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3.5 σ^2 gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3.5 σ^2 gca 22.53 13.93 8.02 5.32 0.29 0.28 64.86 9.37 8.67 4.1 $2\sigma^2$ gca 0.26 0.33 0.48 0.36 0.46 0.38 0.38 0.	Sca	66	22.76**	14.29**	8.11**	5.53**	0.31**	0.31**	81.63**	14.10**	9.15**	6.50**	15.22**	2.00**
σ^2 gca 2.42 1.51 6.55 4.62 0.13 0.13 13.16 3.92 2.01 3. σ^2 sca 22.53 13.93 8.02 5.32 0.29 0.28 64.86 9.37 8.67 4.1 $2\sigma^2$ gca 0.76 0.18 0.64 0.65 0.03 0.48 0.36 0.46 0.38 0.	Error	154	0.23	0.36	0.09	0.21	0.02	0.03	16.77	4.73	0.48	1.86	3.54	0.70
σ^2 sca 22.53 13.93 8.02 5.32 0.29 0.28 64.86 9.37 8.67 4.4 $2\sigma^2$ gca 0.26 0.18 0.64 0.63 0.03 0.48 0.36 0.46 0.38 0.	o²gca		2.42	1.51	6.55	4.62	0.13	0.13	13.16	3.92	2.01	3.28	1.27	0.19
$\frac{2\sigma^2 g c a}{2\sigma^2} \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.18 \qquad 0.64 \qquad 0.63 \qquad 0.03 \qquad 0.48 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.46 \qquad 0.38 \qquad 0.38 \qquad 0.18 \qquad$	o²sca		22.53	13.93	8.02	5.32	0.29	0.28	64.86	9.37	8.67	4.64	11.68	1.30
	$2\sigma^2 gca$	100	100	0.0			0.00	0,0	100	20.00	000	02.0	200	
$2\sigma^2 \sec + \sigma^2 \csc a$	$(2\sigma^2 gca + \sigma^2 sca)$		07-0	0.10	10.0	c0.0	C0.0	01.10	00.0	0+.0	00.0	60.0	07.0	C7.0

P.R. PADHAR, V.P. CHOVATIA, L.L. JIVANI AND K.L. DOBARIYA

Darone	Dave to	Gouerine	Date	to maturity	Dlan	+ hoinht	Tillare	tor nont	I anoth of m	ain enito	Graine n	or oniza
C'IIAm I	E	E2	El	E2 E2	E	E2	E	E ₂	E	E2	E	E
J 24	-0.35	-0.06	-0.76**	160	3.83**	5.38**	0.35	0.61**	1.08^{**}	1.13**	-0.88**	0.65
GW 273	-0.4]	0.16	1.45	-0.43**	1.32**	1.91**	0.44	-0.38	0.41**	0.49**	4.35**	2.39
GW 496	2.41	1.41	1.57	035	-1.40	-1.72	1.17**	**09:0	0.22**	0.17**	-0.70	-0.8
GW 322	5.03	3.42	0.19	134	1.85**	1.00**	-0.02	-0.17	0.32**	0.00	3.15**	2.37
HD 2189	0.68	0.70	0.33	125	5.60**	4.45**	-0.25	-0.13	0.47**	0.40**	3.02**	3.18
MACS 2496	6.40	450	1.58	235	1.56**	1.97**	0.11	-0.28	0.65**	0.59**	5.87**	6.01
PBW 373	4.98	351	2.48	138	1.74**	0.64	0.25	-0.39	0.39**	0.14**	2.85**	1.63
UP 2425	-4.62**	-2.56**	-2.07**	-1.92**	-0.82	-0.38	-0.72	-0.54	-0.04	0.04	-4.19	-1.
HUW 234	-1.19**	-1.(0**	-0.52*	0.18	-2.42	-0.99	1.10**	0.45**	-0.85	-0.69	-1.04	0.78
DL 788-2	-4.72**	-3.63**	-0.82**	-0.38**	4.81	-5.59	0.51	0.14	-0.95	-0.92	-3.97	-9. 1.
Lok 1	-2.96**	-2.18**	-1.00**	-1.65**	-0.41	-0.46	-0.40	0.10	-0.77	-0.49	-3.39	-5.5
GW 173	-5.25**	-4.27**	-2.44**	-3.38**	-6.34	-6.21	-0.33	-0.02	-0.92	-0.88	-5.05	-5.2
S.E. $(g_i) \pm$	0.25	0.11	0.26	0.05	0.33	0.41	0.26	0.15	0.05	0.05	0.36	0.0
S.E. $(g_i \cdot g) \pm$	0.37	0.17	0.38	0.08	0.49	0.61	0.39	0.22	0.08	0.08	0.53	0.1
Darance	Elao lao	t area	1000 anain	a weight	Diotain	vontant	Biological	viald nor nlant	Harry	act index	Grain via	d nor nla
CIIAIB I	E	E ₂	E	E2	E	E2	El		E	E2 E2	E	IN PUT PIA
J 24	2.23**	1.40**	0.04	0.65**	-0.23	-0.16	3.39**	5.32**	-3.03	-3.96	0.15	1.09
GW 273	1.15**	1.14**	0.02	0.68**	0.05	0.14^{**}	5.42**	-0.13	0.52**	0.64	2.61**	0.1
GW 496	**66.0	0.68**	-0.39	-0.02	0.30**	0.15**	1.67	1.58**	0.18	-0.76	0.96*	0.65
GW 322	-1.16	-1.32	-1.94	-233	-0.82	-0.77	2.31*	-0.53	-1.32	-1.14	0.36	-0.
HD 2189	-0.42	-0.17	-2.97	-2.61	-0.22	-0.11	0.95	0.31	-1.37	-0.59	-037	-0-
MACS 2496	-0.14	-0.18	-3.16	-3.13	0.33**	0.36**	3.84**	0.79	-0.50	-0.66	1.40^{**}	0.1
PBW 373	2.35**	1.65**	-0.90	-0.56	0.03	0.02	3.66**	-1.15	-0.79	-0.82	1.33**	-0.
UP 2425	2.6]**	2.69**	2.65**	1.94**	0.71**	0.66**	-4.50	-1 66	0.64**	0.41	-168	-0.
HUW 234	-1.17	-0.77	-0.84	-1.05	-0.4	-0.65	-5.57	1.48**	0.18	0.32	-2.25	0.66
DL 788-2	0.19	-0.35	2.16**	1.94**	0.09**	0.12*	-1.05	-1.57	0.63**	1.30**	-0.07	-0-
Lok 1	-3.23	-2.78	6.42**	4.81**	0.19**	0.10	-1.37	-0.85	1.25**	£68.0	0.06	0.0
GW 173	-3.40	-2.00	1.10^{**}	-0.32	-0.0	0.14^{**}	-8.35	-3.58	3.59**	4.37**	-2.50	-0.
S.E. (gi) ±	0.12	015	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.05	1.05	0.56	0.18	0.35	0.48	0.2

COMBINING ABILITY ANALYSIS OVER ENVIRONMENTS IN DIALLEL CROSSES IN BREAD WHEAT

additive gene action. High predictability ratios for plant height and length of main spike in both environments suggested the importance of additive gene action in the inheritance of these characters. Similar results were also reported by Kant *et al.*, (2001), Dhayal and Sastry (2003), Sinha (2003), Sharma and Garg (2005), Kumar and Sharma (2008) and Seboka and Singh (2009) for most of the traits. In general, the results indicated that additive as well as non-additive gene action played an important role for the genetic control of different traits in both the environments.

The results of gca effects of the parents in E_1 and E_2 environments are presented in Table 2. The results revealed that GW 496 was found to be good general combiner for grain yield per plant, plant height, tillers per plant, length of main spike, flag leaf area and protein content in both the

environments, while for biological yield per plant in E_2 environment only. GW 273, MACS 2496 and PBW 373 (in E_1) and J 24, GW 496 and HUW 234 (in E_2) were also observed to be good general combiners for grain yield per plant along with some other traits. GW 322 was found to be good general combiner for plant height, grains per spike; HD 2189 for plant height, length of main spike and grains per spike; UP 2425 for days to flowering, days to maturity, flag leaf area, 1000-grain weight and protein content; DL 788-2 for days to flowering, days to maturity, 1000-grain weight, protein content and harvest index; Lok 1 for days to flowering, days to maturity, 1000-grain weight and harvest index while GW 173 for days to flowering, days to maturity and harvest index in both the environments. The results indicated that these parents possess high concentration of desirable genes for important

Table 3 : Crosses showing significant sca effect for grain yield per plant, their <i>per se</i> performance and gca status of parents involved in the	
crosses in \mathbf{E}_1 and \mathbf{E}_2 in bread wheat	

Sr. No.	Desirable grosses	san offaat	Dorse	gca effe	ect	Desirable sca
51. INO.	Desirable crosses	sca effect	Per se -	P ₁	P ₂	effects for other traits
				E ₁		
1.	GW 496 x PBW 373	8.67**	31.43	H (0.96*)	H (1.33**)	4,5,6,7,8,10
2.	GW 496 x HD 2189	7.86**	28.93	H (0.96*)	L (-0.37)	5,6,8,9,10,11
3.	GW 322 x Lok 1	7.30**	28.20	L (0.36)	L (0.06)	4,7,9,10,11
4.	DL 788-2 x GW 173	6.45**	24.37	L (-0.07)	L (-2.50**)	9,10
5.	MACS 2496 x HUW 234	6.20**	25.83	H (1.40**)	L (-2.25**)	1,2,8,10,11
6.	UP 2425 x DL 788-2	6.16**	24.90	L (-1.68**)	L (-0.07)	2,5
7.	GW 273 x MACS 2496	5.87**	30.37	H (2.61**)	H (1.40**)	4,7,10
8.	GW 273 x PBW 373	4.91**	29.33	H (2.61**)	H (1.33**)	1,2,4,5,7,8,11
9.	J 24 x DL 788-2	4.20*	24.77	L (0.15)	L (-0.07)	1,9,11
10.	GW 496 x Lok 1	4.04*	25.53	H (0.96**)	L (0.06)	4,7,9,10
11.	GW 496 x MACS 2496	3.79*	26.63	H (0.96**)	H (1.40**)	4,11
12.	GW 273 x HUW 234	3.55*	24.40	H (2.61**)	L (-2.25**)	1,4,6,9,10
13.	UP2425 x GW173	3.50*	19.80	L (-1.68**)	L (-2.50**)	8,10
				\mathbf{E}_2		
1.	GW 496 x HD 2189	3.58**	13.57	L (0.12)	L (-0.04)	4,6,8,10
2.	GW 496 x MACS 2496	2.57**	12.77	L (0.12)	L (0.17)	1,3,8,10
3.	PBW 373 x DL 788-2	2.41**	10.87	L (-0.61**)	L (0.32)	1,4,8,9,10
4	J 24 x GW173	2.25**	12.13	H (1.09**)	L (-0.58**)	1,6,9
5.	DL 788-2 x GW 173	2.11**	10.37	L (-0.32)	L (-0.58**)	7
6.	MACS 2496 x DL 788-2	2.10**	11.33	L (0.17)	L (-0.32)	1,2,4,6,10
7.	J 24 x HUW 234	2.07**	13.20	H (1.09**)	H (0.66**)	1,2,5,6,8,10
8.	Lok 1 x GW 173	1.88*	10.73	L (0.05)	L (-0.58**)	6,8,9,10
9.	MACS 2496 x GW 173	1.67*	10.63	L (0.17)	L (-0.58**)	1,2,6,8
10.	GW 273 x HD 2189	1.62*	7.83	L (0.12)	L (-0.04)	2,3,7
11.	HUW 234 x GW 173	1.60*	11.07	H (0.66**)	L (-0.58**)	1,2,4,6

*and ** Indicate significance of value at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

1 = Days to flowering, 2 = Days to maturity, 3 = Plant height 4 = Tillers per plant 5 = Length of main spike 6 = Grains per spike

7 = Flag leaf area 8 = 1000- grain weight 9 = Protein content 10 = Biological yield per plant 11 = Harvest index 12 = Grain yield per plant H = High, L= Low

yield contributing characters and could be used effectively in multiple crossing programme to isolate high yielding lines for timely as well as late sown conditions in bread wheat. The importance of such characters had also been emphasized by Singh and Paroda (1986), Singh and Yunus (1993), Sheikh and Singh (2000), Mahmood and Chowdhry (2000), Sharma *et al.*, (2003), Joshi and Sharma (2006), Dhadhal and Dobariya (2006) and Dhadhal *et al.* (2008).

Promising crosses on the basis of sca effect for grain yield per plant, per se performance alongwith gca status of the parents involved in these crosses are presented in Table 3. It was revealed that 13 and 11 crosses exhibited significant positive sca effects for grain yield per plant in E, and E, respectively. The best cross identified in E₁ was GW 496 x PBW 373 with the highest per se performance followed by GW 496 x HD 2189, GW 322 x Lok 1, DL 788-2 x GW 173, MACS 2496 x HUW 234, UP 2425 x DL 788-2, GW 273 x MACS 2496 and GW 273 x PBW 373. While, in E₂, the cross GW 496 x HD 2189 gave the highest sca effect and per se performance followed by GW 496 x MACS 2496, PBW 373 x DL 788-2, J 24 x GW 173, DL 788-2 x GW 173, MACS 2496 x DL 788-2 and J 24 x HUW 234. Three crosses viz., GW 496 x HD 2189, DL 788-2 x GW 173 and GW 496 x MACS 2496 were observed to be good specific combiners in both the environments with considerable per se performance. These crosses may be used for the development of varieties suitable for timely as well as late sown conditions. Crosses shown significant sca effects for grain yield involved parents with high x high, high x low and low x low gca effects, indicating the presence of additive x additive, additive x dominance of dominance x dominance type of non-allelic interactions. It appeared that high sca effect of a cross is not always dependent upon the high gca effects of the parents involved. The superiority of the crosses may be due to complementary type of gene interaction, which can be exploited in subsequent segregating generations.

REFERENCES

Baker, R.J. (1978). Issues in diallel analysis. *Crop Sci.*, 18: 533-536.

Dhadhal,B.A, Dobariya,K.L., Ponkia,H.P. and Jivani,L.L. (2008). Gene action and combining ability over environments for grain yield and its attributes in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **4**: 66-72.

Dhadhal, B.A. and Dobariya, K.L. (2006). Combining ability analysis over environments for grain yield and its components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). *Natnl. J. Pl. Improv.*, 8:172-173.

Dhayal, L.S. and Sastry, E.V.D. (2003). Combining ability in bread wheat under salinity and normal conditions. *Indian J. Genet.*, **63**: 69-70.

Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 9: 463-93.

Joshi, S.K. Sharma, S.N. and Sain, R.S. (2004). Non-allelic interactions for yield and its components in hexaploid wheat [*T. aestivum* (L.) Em. Thell]. *Indian J. Genet.*, **64**: 63-64.

Joshi, S.K. and Sharma, S.N. (2006). Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in spring wheat under late sown environment. *Crop Improv.*, **33**: 131-36.

Kant, L., Mani, V.L. and Gupta, H.S. (2001). Winter x spring wheat hybridization - a promising avenue for yield enhancement. *Plant Breed.*, **120**: 255-58.

Kumar, Ashok and Sharma, S.C. (2008). Genetic analysis of grain yield and its component traits in bread wheat under rainfed and irrigated conditions. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, **42**: 220-23.

Mahmood, N. and Chowdhry, M.A. (2000). Inheritance of flag leaf in bread wheat genotypes. *Wheat Information Service*, **70**: 7-12.

Patil, H.S., Manake, B.S., Chavan, V.W. and Kachole, U.G. (1995). Diallel analysis in bread wheat. *Indian J. Genet.*, 55: 320-23.

Seboka, S. and Singh, I. (2009). Combining ability analysis analysis in wheat plant characters and harvest index. *Internat. J. Trop. Agric.*, 18: 29-37.

Sharma, A.K. and Garg, D.K. (2005). Combining ability over environments in bread wheat (*T. aesivum* L.). *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **30**: 153-56.

Sharma, M., Sohu, V.S. and Mavi, G.S. (2003). Gene action for grain yield and its components under heat stress in bread wheat. *Crop Improv.*, **30**: 189-97.

Sheikh, S. and Singh, I. (2000). Combining ability analysis in wheat plant characters and harvest index. *Internat. J. Trop. Agric.*, 18: 29-37.

Singh, I. and Paroda, R.S. (1986). Partial diallel analysis including 15 parents for combining ability in wheat. *Indian J. Genet.*, **46**: 490-95.

Singh, I. and Yunus, M. (1993). Combining ability analysis over environments in bread wheat. *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, 23: 119-121.

Singh, P., Anju Bhadauria and Singh, P.K. (2008). Combining ability and gene action for A. blight and powdery mildew resistance in linseed. *Indian J. Genet.*, **68**: 65-67.

Sinha, A.K. (2003). Combining ability analysis for quantitative traits in bread wheat. *RAU J. Res.*, **13**: 57-60.