Evaluation of wheat sowing technologies under paddy residue conditions
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ABSTRACT: Evaluation of different wheat sowing technologies under paddy residue conditions were conducted in a combine harvested paddy field. Field of paddy variety PR-121 was harvested with combine. The straw load was 8.22 t/ha at moisture content of 20.2 per cent. Wheat variety (HD 2967) was sown with different farm machines viz., T1: Happy seeder, T2: Spatially modified no till drill and T3: Roto till drill in combine harvested paddy fields. In T1, all the paddy straw was remained in the field itself but spreaded uniformly manually/stubble shaver, in T2, 40 per cent of loose paddy straw removed manually/chopping of paddy straw with paddy straw chopper cum spreader while in T3, there was a partial collection of loose paddy straw manually for better operation of the machines. The grain yield was maximum in treatment T2 (5395.9 kg/ha).
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INTRODUCTION

Rice-wheat is the major cropping system of Punjab. The increasing constraints of labour and time have led to the adoption of mechanized farming in highly intensive rice-wheat system. In Punjab, mostly the paddy and wheat crops are harvested by combines. The wheat residue is collected by the farmers after combine harvesting using straw combine and often fed to animals, paddy straw is considered as poor feed for animals due to high silica content. One tonne of rice straw contains approximately 5-6 kg N, 0.8-0.9 kg P and 15-20 kg K, while one tonne of wheat straw contains 4 kg N, 0.6-0.7 kg P and 8-10 kg K (Singh, 2012). Burning is the normal and easiest method of crop residue management option because residues interfere with tillage and seeding operations for the next crop. Presently, more than 80 per cent of total rice straw produced annually is being burnt by the famers in 3-4 weeks during October-November (Singh et al., 2010). The gaseous emissions from burning of rice straw analyzed 70 per cent CO2, 7 per cent CO, 0.66 per cent CH4 and 2.09 per cent N2O. Substantial loss of plant nutrients (especially N and S) and organic carbon occurs during burning of crop residues, which has important implications for soil health (Singh et al., 2005).

The major problem in sowing under no tillage is the frequent choking of the furrow opener of no-till drill due to long loose straw of paddy lying in the windrows, after harvesting by combines. The paddy straw present in the field often builds up in front of the tines of the drill and eventually blocks the tine and frame, causing unwanted
interruptions, uneven seeding rate and depth and a patchy stand of plants (Graham et al., 1986). Shukla et al. (1984) studied the problems in cutting straw, accumulation of straw on tines and formation of big clods. It was concluded that the germination and yield of crop was equal or sometimes higher in no-tillage system as compared to conventional tillage system. They also reported that coulter arrangement fitted with seed-cum-fertilizer drill worked satisfactorily in manually harvested paddy fields but failed to work under heavy residue conditions. Bansal (2002) studied that when no-till and strip-till drill is operated in chopped and well-spread paddy straw condition, there is marginal increase in straw accumulation as compared to clean field. Singh and Singh (1995) studied the effect of stubble height on the performance of zero till drill. They concluded that the standing stubble of various height up to 30 to 40 cm do not have effect on sowing performance of seed drill. However, the loose straw residue not burnt/spread on the ground resulted in frequent choking of drill in between furrow openers and frame of the drill. Keeping above points in view, farmer participatory trials were conducted to evaluate the performance of different farm machines for direct sowing of wheat in combine harvested paddy fields viz., Happy Seeder, Spatially modified no till drill and Roto till drill in district Hoshiarpur during 2013-14.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The study was carried out in villages Todarpur, Kangar and Panjoura of district Hoshiarpur. The brief description of various farm machines used for sowing of wheat in paddy residue conditions is as follows.

Happy seeder :

It consists of a rotor for managing the paddy residues and a zero till drill for sowing of wheat. Flail type straight blades are mounted on the straw management rotor which cuts the standing stubbles/loose straw coming in front of the sowing tine for proper placement of seed in soil. The rotor blades/flails guide/push the residues as surface much between the seeded rows. This PTO driven machine can be operated with 45 hp tractor and can cover 0.3-0.4 ha/hr. This machine can be used for direct drilling of wheat in uniformly spreaded paddy straw fields after combine harvested. Fig. A shows the line diagram (side view) of Happy seeder. Fig. B shows the direct sowing of wheat with Happy seeder. The loose paddy residue left after the harvesting of paddy with combine was spreaded manually (Fig. C) and with stubble shaver (Fig. D) operating at a height of 1 feet above the ground for smooth functioning of Happy seeder. The total quantity of urea (110 kg/acre) had been applied in three splits doses: top dressing of 20 per cent urea (22 kg/acre) before sowing, top dressing of 40 per cent (44 kg/acre) before first irrigation and while remaining 40 per cent (44 kg/acre) urea was top dressed before second irrigation.

Spatially modified no till drill :

It is a modified no till drill which consists of three frame members. Each frame members consists of three T type furrow openers. The lateral clearance between
the adjacent openers is 80 cm while the vertical clearance from the ground is 60 cm. This machine can be used for direct drilling of wheat after chopping and spreading of paddy straw with straw chopper cum spreader. Fig. E shows the sowing of wheat with spatially modified no till drill. If 40 per cent of loose paddy straw after the combine operation collected manually (Fig. F) then this machine worked satisfactorily. In some areas, the loose paddy straw has been used for fodder.

**Roto till drill:**

It is a combination of rotavator and a seed drill, which can prepare the field and sow the wheat seed in a single operation. This PTO driven machine can be operated with 45 hp tractor having working width of 160 cm. The outer diameter of rotor is 16.4 cm. The overall transmission ratio from PTO to rotor is 28:15. It has a provision changing the depth of operation by adjusting the shoes on both sides. Fig. G shows the line diagram of roto till drill while Fig. H shows the sowing of wheat with roto till drill.

The average height of standing stubble and length of loose straw were 44.6 and 56.5 cm, respectively. Field of paddy variety PR-121 was harvested with combine. The straw load was 8.22 t/ha at moisture content of 20.2 per cent. Wheat variety (HD 2967) was sown with different farm machines in paddy residue conditions with different treatments as shown in Table A.

The seeding machines were calibrated for seed and fertilizer before the sowing operation. The crop
The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under following heads:

**Germination count:**
A germination count was done at 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 days after sowing (DAS) the wheat crop (Table 1). The maximum germination count per meter length 30 DAS was 48.06, which was found for treatment $T_2$ followed by treatment $T_1$ which had germination count of 45.16 per meter length. The minimum germination count of 41.06 was observed in $T_3$ because uneven germination of wheat was observed in roto till drill sown fields. The highest germination count in treatment $T_2$ might be due to the reason that after chopping the paddy straw, the accumulation of paddy straw in front of furrow openers was minimum and subsequent seeding operation by modified no till drill, the seed coverage was better.

**Crop establishment parameters:**
The various wheat crop establishment parameters viz., effective tiller count per meter length, length of ear head, number of grains per ear head and thousand grain weight are shown in Table 2. The length of ear head was
maximum (97.15 mm) in T₂ and minimum in T₃ (96.50 mm). The maximum effective tiller count per meter length (52.69) was in case of treatment T₂ and minimum number of tillers per meter length (50.27) was in case of T₃. The maximum number of grains per ear head (57.62) was found in treatment T₂ followed by T₁ (56.10) and treatment T₃ (56.05). The average value of thousand grain weight was maximum (42.24 g) in treatment T₂ followed by treatment T₁ (41.45 g) and T₃ (40.05 g). A view of crop stand in treatment T₁, T₂ and T₃ is shown in Fig. 1, 2 and 3.

Grain yield:
The highest grain yield (5395.9 kg/ha) was found in case of treatment T₂ and lowest in T₃ (5159.4 kg/ha) as depicted from Table 3. The average grain yield for

### Table 2: Average values of various wheat crop establishment parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Effective tiller count/ m-length</th>
<th>Length of ear head (mm)</th>
<th>No. of grains per ear head</th>
<th>Thousand grain weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>50.52</td>
<td>96.70</td>
<td>56.10</td>
<td>41.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>52.69</td>
<td>97.15</td>
<td>57.62</td>
<td>42.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>50.27</td>
<td>96.50</td>
<td>56.05</td>
<td>40.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Effect of different treatments on grain yield (kg/ha) of wheat crop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Grain yield (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>5215.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>5383.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>5147.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 : A view of crop stand in treatment T₁

Fig. 2 : A view of crop stand in treatment T₂

Fig. 3 : A view of crop stand in treatment T₃
treatment $T_2$ was found to be significantly higher (4.58 \%) than the $T_3$. It might be due to better seeding in treatment $T_2$ because in $T_2$, paddy straw was chopped into small size and spreaded uniformly with straw chopper cum spreader, which might have led to better decomposition of paddy straw in the soil, thereby increasing the availability of nutrients in the soil which might have helped in yielding bolder grains and hence better yield of wheat crop. Similar work related to the present topic was also done by Naresh et al. (2011).
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