Resource use efficiencies of okra in Thane district of Maharashtra
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ABSTRACT: The functional relationship between inputs factors in production of okra namely independent variables like seed ($X_1$), fertilizers ($X_2$), plant protection ($X_3$), human labour ($X_4$) and dependent variable as okra production (yield) was estimated by Cobb-Douglas type production function. The co-efficient of determination ($R^2$) was 0.824 indicating that 82 per cent of the variation in the yield is explained by independent factor such as seed ($X_1$), fertilizers ($X_2$), plant protection ($X_3$) and human labour ($X_4$). $R^2$ was found to bestatistically significant. The functional analysis indicated that seed, fertilizer plant protection and human labour were used excessively. They need proper monitoring to increase allocative efficiency.
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holding thus, the final sample consisted of 135 vegetable growers from nine villages. The data and information for the present study pertained to the agricultural year 2011-2012.

**Functional analysis:**

The empirical evidences from previous studies suggest that amongst the various production functions Cobb-Douglas type of production function was the found to be appropriate one for the given data set Cobb-Douglas (1928). The following form of production function was used.

\[ Y = aX_1^{b_1}X_2^{b_2}X_3^{b_3}X_4^{b_4}e^{\mu} \]

where,

- \( Y \) = Per hectare yield of okra (q)
- \( a \) = Intercept term
- \( X_1 \) = Seed (kg./ha)
- \( X_2 \) = Fertilizer (kg./ha)
- \( X_3 \) = Plant protection (lit./ha.)
- \( X_4 \) = Human labour (days/ha)
- \( e^{\mu} \) = Error term.

\( b_1 \) to \( b_4 \) are the production elasticities of respective resources.

In this functional form ‘\( Y \)’ is the dependent variable and \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \ldots X_4 \) are independent variables and were considered on per hectare basis. The regression coefficients obtained from this function are also called as elasticities of production. The sum of co-efficient of regression \( i.e. \ sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \) indicates return to scale.

**Estimation of MPP and MVP:**

The following formulae was used for the calculation of marginal physical product and marginal value product.

**Marginal physical product (MPP):**

\[ MPP_{X_i} = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X_i} = b_i \]

where,

- \( b_i \) = Production elasticities of \( i^{th} \) input.
- \( Y \) = Geometric mean of output.
- \( X_i \) = Geometric mean of \( i^{th} \) input

**Marginal value product (MVP):**

\[ MVP = MPP \times \text{unit price of the output.} \]

**Marginal factor cost (MFC):**

\[ MFC = \text{Price per unit of the input.} \]

**Allocative efficiency:**

After estimating the MVP, the resource use efficiency of different resources were judged with the help of MVP/MFC ratio

- MVP/MFC = 1 Optimum use of resource.
- MVP/MFC < 1 Excess utilization of resource.
- MVP/MFC > 1 Underutilization of resource.

**RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

**Distribution of sample okra cultivators:**

The selected okra growers were grouped according to their size of total holding of land. The cultivators were classified into three categories \( viz., \) I) Small farmers group: upto 2 ha, II) Medium farmer’s group: 2.01 to 4.0 ha, III) Large farmer’s group: 4.01 ha to above. The distribution of sample okra grower is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Holding size (ha)</th>
<th>No. of cultivators</th>
<th>Per farm area under okra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Upto 2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2.01 to 4.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>4.01 to above</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The area under okra cultivation was 0.25 ha. in small, 0.37 ha. in medium and 0.80 in large category with an overall average area under okra cultivation was 0.47 ha.

**Input utilization:**

Per hectare quantities of different input and their values are given in Table 2.

**Human labour:**

It was observed that the per hectare total human labour required for okra cultivation was 304.58 days. Out of which family labour was 223.27 labour days while hired labour was 81.31 days. Thus, the study revealed that the proportion of family labour (73.30%) was more than hired labour (26.70%) (Table 2).

**Seed:**

At an overall level, quantities of seed of okra used and expenditure incurred on seed were 10.31 kg and
7889, respectively. It could be seen from Table 2 that in case of small farmers seed utilized for okra was 10.81 kg and expenditure incurred was Rs. 8083. Whereas, expenditure incurred by the medium and large farmers on seed was Rs. 7889 and Rs. 9106, respectively. This indicated that expenditure incurred on seed item was highest by large size group of farmers followed by medium and small size group of farmers.

**Manures:**

It was observed from table that at overall level, manure used was 3.68 ton and expenditure incurred was Rs.4409. The expenditure incurred on manure was highest in case of large farmers followed by medium and small size group of farmers. The manures used in large size farmers was 5.12 ton and expenditure incurred on them were Rs. 6113. In case of small and medium farmers, expenditure incurred on manure was Rs. 2063 and Rs. 5051, respectively.

**Fertilizer:**

Regarding fertilizer use it was observed that average use small size land holding group was 1874.58 kg fertilizer and expenditure incurred on this was Rs. 25763 per hectare. Whereas, quantities of fertilizers used by the medium size of the farmers were 1570.11 kg and expenditure incurred on these fertilizer was Rs.29516 per hectare. In case of large size farmers, 1518.75 kg fertilizer were used and expenditure incurred on these fertilizers was Rs. 29004 per hectare.

### Table 2: Per hectare input used for okra cultivation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Inputs used</th>
<th>Small (n=45)</th>
<th>Medium (n=45)</th>
<th>Large (n=45)</th>
<th>Overall (n=135)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qty.</td>
<td>Value (Rs.)</td>
<td>Qty.</td>
<td>Value (Rs.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>269.14</td>
<td>31222</td>
<td>231.03</td>
<td>27414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hired</td>
<td>71.58</td>
<td>7376</td>
<td>94.35</td>
<td>9471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Seed (kg)</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>8083</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>7889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Manures (ton)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>5051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Fertilizers (kg.)</td>
<td>1874.58</td>
<td>25763</td>
<td>1570.11</td>
<td>29516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Plant protection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticides (lit.)</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>7215</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>7448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungicides (lit)</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>4243</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>4767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weedicides (lit.)</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>5146</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>4442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Figures in the parentheses indicate percentages to the total)

### Table 3: Regression co-efficients of independent variables in estimated Cobb-Douglas type of production function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Estimated regression co-efficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Seed (X_1)</td>
<td>0.292609*</td>
<td>0.055594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Fertilizer (X_2)</td>
<td>0.075192**</td>
<td>0.040081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Plant protection (X_3)</td>
<td>0.069953*</td>
<td>0.018109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Human labour (X_4)</td>
<td>0.436551*</td>
<td>0.043197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Intercept (a)</td>
<td>2.561740</td>
<td>0.108847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.823902</td>
<td>0.874305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Return to scale (\beta)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets indicates standard errors to total * and ** indicate significance of values at \(P=0.05\) and 0.01, respectively

### Table 4: Resource use efficiency in okra production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GM</th>
<th>MPP</th>
<th>MVP</th>
<th>MFC</th>
<th>MFP /MFC</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Seed (X_1)</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>545.020</td>
<td>7.678</td>
<td>808.395</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>Excess utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Fertilizer (X_2)</td>
<td>1595.938</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>1.973</td>
<td>16.981</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>Excess utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Plant protection (X_3)</td>
<td>21.441</td>
<td>62.982</td>
<td>1.839</td>
<td>761.894</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Excess utilization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plant protection:

At overall level, quantity used for insecticide, fungicide and weedicide used for okra was 7.51 lit., 9.64 lit. and 8.93 lit., respectively and expenditure on it Rs. 5720, Rs. 7348 and Rs. 6805, respectively. In study area, insecticide, fungicide and weedicides were commonly used as plant protection measures. Medium size group of farmers used highest quantity of insecticide (9.28 lit.) followed by large (6.72 lit.) and small size group (6.52 lit.) of farmers expenditure incurred on it was Rs. 7448, Rs. 7215 and Rs. 7176, respectively. In case of fungicide medium size group of farmers used highest quantity of fungicides (11.54 lit.) followed by medium (8.81 lit.) and large size group (8.58 lit) of farmers. The expenditure incurred was Rs.4243, Rs. 4767 and Rs. 9162 respectively. In case of weedicides, large size group of farmers used highest (9.39 lit.) quantity than other i.e. small (8.96 lit.) and medium (8.45 lit.) and expenditure incurred was Rs. 10019, Rs. 5146 and Rs. 4442, respectively.

The results of input utilization in okra cultivation are in conformity with Nawadkar and Pant (1984) in their study on chillies.

Functional analysis:

The functional relationship between inputs factors in production of okra namely independent variables like seed (X₁), fertilizers (X₂), plant protection (X₃), human labour (X₄) and dependent variable as okra production (yield) on overall group of farms were studied by estimating Cobb-Douglas type production function as it was found to be statistically appropriate for present data set. The estimated functional relationship is presented in Table 3.

It could be seen from the table 3 that the co-efficient of determination (R²) was 0.824 indicating that 82 per cent of the variation in the yield is explained by independent factor such as seed (X₁), fertilizers (X₂), plant protection (X₃) and human labour (X₄). R² was found to be statistically significant. Similar results were also obtained by Dangat et al. (1991) while studying resource use structure and resource productivity of tomato.

The respective regression co-efficient of seed (X₁), fertilizers (X₂), plant protection (X₃) and human labour (X₄) were 0.292, 0.075, 0.069 and 0.436, respectively. Results were in conformity with that of Koyande (2000) during his study in resource use efficiency of Chilli in Sindhudurg district of Maharashtra. The regression co-efficients of independent variable such as seed, plant protection and human labour were statistically significant at 5 per cent level. The regression co-efficient of fertilizer was statistically significant at 10 per cent level. The returns to scale (sum of elasticity of inputs) was 0.874 indicating decreasing returns to scale.

Resource use efficiency in okra production:

The efficiency of resource used in okra production with the help of marginal value product/marginal factor costs (MVP/MFC) ratio is given in Table 4.

It is observed from the Table 4 that, marginal value product/marginal factor cost ratio for seed, fertilizers, plant protection and human labour was 0.009, 0.116, 0.002 and 0.046, respectively. This indicated that the all inputs used for okra cultivation need to be curtailed. There was an excess use of all the variables used for okra production in study area.

Conclusion:

The per hectare input use was okra cultivation viz., 304.58 man days human labour,10.34 kg.seed, 3.68 tonnmanures, 1654.48 kg. fertilizers and 26.08 lit. plant protection okra found to be highly labour intensive crop and provided proportionately higher employment 304 days to family members i.e. for male and female members. The functional analysis indicated that seed, fertilizer plant protection and human labour were used excessively. They need proper monitoring to increase allocative efficiency.
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